

**Kentucky's  
Education Professional  
Standards Board**

**New Teacher Survey  
2003-2004 Results**

**Northern Kentucky University**

Source: EPSB, contact Jaime Rice at [Jaime.Rice@ky.gov](mailto:Jaime.Rice@ky.gov).

# Northern Kentucky University

## 2003-2004 New Teacher Survey Results

	Classification				Total
	Student Teacher	Intern	Cooperating Teacher	Resource Teacher	
1. Satisfaction with preparation in designing units of instruction that focus on Kentucky's student learning goals and academic expectations.					
Excellent	49.09%	42.71%	43.90%	56.96%	47.76%
Good	43.64%	47.92%	40.24%	35.44%	41.99%
Fair	7.27%	9.38%	13.41%	7.59%	9.62%
Poor	0.00%	0.00%	2.44%	0.00%	0.64%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.42	3.33	3.26	3.49	3.37
Standard Deviation	0.63	0.64	0.78	0.64	0.68
2. Satisfaction with preparation in using information about the community and backgrounds of students to design learning tasks.					
Excellent	25.45%	18.75%	29.27%	36.71%	27.24%
Good	50.91%	44.79%	43.90%	43.04%	45.19%
Fair	20.00%	34.38%	25.61%	20.25%	25.96%
Poor	3.64%	2.08%	1.22%	0.00%	1.60%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	2.98	2.80	3.01	3.16	2.98
Standard Deviation	0.78	0.76	0.78	0.74	0.77
3. Satisfaction with preparation in designing classroom assessments that are aligned with Kentucky core content standards and CATS.					
Excellent	49.09%	34.38%	31.71%	53.16%	41.03%
Good	45.45%	43.75%	52.44%	35.44%	44.23%
Fair	5.45%	19.79%	15.85%	8.86%	13.46%
Poor	0.00%	2.08%	0.00%	2.53%	1.28%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.44	3.10	3.16	3.39	3.25
Standard Deviation	0.60	0.79	0.68	0.76	0.73

\*No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.

# Northern Kentucky University

## 2003-2004 New Teacher Survey Results

	Classification				Total
	Student Teacher	Intern	Cooperating Teacher	Resource Teacher	
<b>4. Satisfaction with preparation in designing and using classroom assessments that reliably measure learning.</b>					
Excellent	36.36%	32.29%	28.05%	40.51%	33.97%
Good	56.36%	42.71%	53.66%	48.10%	49.36%
Fair	7.27%	21.88%	18.29%	11.39%	15.71%
Poor	0.00%	3.13%	0.00%	0.00%	0.96%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.29	3.04	3.10	3.29	3.16
Standard Deviation	0.60	0.82	0.68	0.66	0.71
<b>5. Satisfaction with preparation in designing and using formative assessments to provide feedback to students and guide their learning.</b>					
Excellent	29.09%	27.08%	24.39%	37.97%	29.49%
Good	58.18%	46.88%	53.66%	43.04%	49.68%
Fair	10.91%	21.88%	21.95%	18.99%	19.23%
Poor	1.82%	4.17%	0.00%	0.00%	1.60%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.15	2.97	3.02	3.19	3.07
Standard Deviation	0.68	0.81	0.68	0.74	0.74
<b>6. Satisfaction with preparation in designing instruction and learning tasks that connect core content to real-life experiences for students.</b>					
Excellent	38.18%	37.50%	37.80%	43.04%	39.10%
Good	47.27%	50.00%	53.66%	48.10%	50.00%
Fair	14.55%	12.50%	8.54%	7.59%	10.58%
Poor	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.27%	0.32%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.24	3.25	3.29	3.33	3.28
Standard Deviation	0.69	0.66	0.62	0.67	0.66

\*No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.

# Northern Kentucky University

## 2003-2004 New Teacher Survey Results

	Classification				Total
	Student Teacher	Intern	Cooperating Teacher	Resource Teacher	
<b>7. Satisfaction with preparation in designing instruction and assessments for students with special needs.</b>					
Excellent	30.91%	30.21%	24.39%	40.51%	31.41%
Good	41.82%	47.92%	54.88%	34.18%	45.19%
Fair	25.45%	14.58%	18.29%	24.05%	19.87%
Poor	1.82%	7.29%	2.44%	1.27%	3.53%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.02	3.01	3.01	3.14	3.04
Standard Deviation	0.80	0.86	0.73	0.83	0.81
<b>8. Satisfaction with preparation in using technology to enhance and extend learning tasks related to core concepts and/or content standards.</b>					
Excellent	30.91%	31.25%	26.83%	43.04%	33.01%
Good	49.09%	42.71%	47.56%	34.18%	42.95%
Fair	18.18%	18.75%	21.95%	21.52%	20.19%
Poor	1.82%	7.29%	3.66%	1.27%	3.85%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.09	2.98	2.98	3.19	3.05
Standard Deviation	0.75	0.89	0.80	0.82	0.83
<b>9. Satisfaction with preparation in designing and using a variety of instructional strategies that address the learning needs of different types of students</b>					
Excellent	50.91%	36.46%	34.15%	45.57%	40.71%
Good	38.18%	47.92%	52.44%	40.51%	45.51%
Fair	10.91%	15.63%	12.20%	13.92%	13.46%
Poor	0.00%	0.00%	1.22%	0.00%	0.32%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.40	3.21	3.20	3.32	3.27
Standard Deviation	0.68	0.69	0.69	0.71	0.70

\*No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.

# Northern Kentucky University

## 2003-2004 New Teacher Survey Results

	Classification				Total
	Student Teacher	Intern	Cooperating Teacher	Resource Teacher	
10. Satisfaction with preparation in using methods of inquiry to create meaningful learning experiences for students.					
Excellent	34.55%	35.42%	39.02%	37.97%	36.86%
Good	47.27%	45.83%	42.68%	41.77%	44.23%
Fair	14.55%	16.67%	17.07%	20.25%	17.31%
Poor	3.64%	2.08%	1.22%	0.00%	1.60%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.13	3.15	3.20	3.18	3.16
Standard Deviation	0.79	0.77	0.76	0.75	0.76
11. Satisfaction with preparation in using multiple assessments and data sources to interpret learning results for individuals and groups of students.					
Excellent	34.55%	29.17%	29.27%	36.71%	32.05%
Good	54.55%	46.88%	47.56%	46.84%	48.40%
Fair	9.09%	19.79%	21.95%	13.92%	16.99%
Poor	1.82%	4.17%	1.22%	2.53%	2.56%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.22	3.01	3.05	3.18	3.10
Standard Deviation	0.69	0.81	0.75	0.76	0.76
12. Satisfaction with preparation in designing a personal professional growth plan that facilitates student learning.					
Excellent	25.45%	25.00%	32.93%	41.77%	31.41%
Good	45.45%	50.00%	50.00%	45.57%	48.08%
Fair	23.64%	17.71%	14.63%	7.59%	15.38%
Poor	5.45%	7.29%	2.44%	5.06%	5.13%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	2.91	2.93	3.13	3.24	3.06
Standard Deviation	0.84	0.85	0.75	0.80	0.82

\*No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.

# Northern Kentucky University

## 2003-2004 New Teacher Survey Results

	Classification				Total
	Student Teacher	Intern	Cooperating Teacher	Resource Teacher	
13. Satisfaction with preparation in reflecting on the effectiveness of instruction for the purpose of improving student learning.					
Excellent	40.00%	42.71%	42.68%	41.77%	41.99%
Good	45.45%	45.83%	39.02%	48.10%	44.55%
Fair	14.55%	10.42%	14.63%	10.13%	12.18%
Poor	0.00%	1.04%	3.66%	0.00%	1.28%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.25	3.30	3.21	3.32	3.27
Standard Deviation	0.70	0.70	0.83	0.65	0.72
14. Satisfaction with preparation in developing graphic and/or written presentations to show evidence of student learning resulting from instruction.					
Excellent	16.36%	17.71%	20.73%	34.18%	22.44%
Good	54.55%	41.67%	51.22%	48.10%	48.08%
Fair	21.82%	31.25%	25.61%	17.72%	24.68%
Poor	7.27%	9.38%	2.44%	0.00%	4.81%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	2.80	2.68	2.90	3.16	2.88
Standard Deviation	0.80	0.88	0.75	0.71	0.81
15. Satisfaction with preparation in using classroom management techniques that foster self-control and self-discipline among students.					
Excellent	41.82%	42.71%	26.83%	43.04%	38.46%
Good	47.27%	41.67%	41.46%	43.04%	42.95%
Fair	5.45%	13.54%	20.73%	11.39%	13.46%
Poor	5.45%	2.08%	10.98%	2.53%	5.13%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.25	3.25	2.84	3.27	3.15
Standard Deviation	0.80	0.77	0.95	0.76	0.84

\*No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.

# Northern Kentucky University

## 2003-2004 New Teacher Survey Results

	Classification				Total
	Student Teacher	Intern	Cooperating Teacher	Resource Teacher	
16. Satisfaction with preparation in planning and providing instruction that stimulates critical thinking and the application of knowledge.					
Excellent	49.09%	30.21%	34.15%	40.51%	37.18%
Good	41.82%	54.17%	46.34%	40.51%	46.47%
Fair	7.27%	13.54%	18.29%	18.99%	15.06%
Poor	1.82%	2.08%	1.22%	0.00%	1.28%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.38	3.13	3.13	3.22	3.20
Standard Deviation	0.71	0.71	0.75	0.75	0.73
17. Satisfaction with preparation in collaborating with other teachers, administrators, parents and service agencies to provide the best possible instruction for students.					
Excellent	50.91%	43.75%	42.68%	51.90%	46.79%
Good	40.00%	43.75%	41.46%	43.04%	42.31%
Fair	9.09%	11.46%	13.41%	1.27%	8.97%
Poor	0.00%	1.04%	2.44%	3.80%	1.92%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.42	3.30	3.24	3.43	3.34
Standard Deviation	0.66	0.71	0.78	0.71	0.72
18. Satisfaction with preparation in using a multi media computer to support and extend student learning.					
Excellent	27.27%	22.92%	21.95%	37.97%	27.24%
Good	52.73%	43.75%	47.56%	37.97%	44.87%
Fair	18.18%	23.96%	20.73%	20.25%	21.15%
Poor	1.82%	9.38%	9.76%	3.80%	6.73%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.05	2.80	2.82	3.10	2.93
Standard Deviation	0.73	0.90	0.89	0.86	0.87

\*No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.

# Northern Kentucky University

## 2003-2004 New Teacher Survey Results

	Classification				Total
	Student Teacher	Intern	Cooperating Teacher	Resource Teacher	
19. Satisfaction with preparation in assessing professional growth needs and selecting meaningful professional learning activities.					
Excellent	25.45%	20.83%	28.05%	41.77%	28.85%
Good	56.36%	54.17%	56.10%	43.04%	52.24%
Fair	14.55%	19.79%	13.41%	13.92%	15.71%
Poor	3.64%	5.21%	2.44%	1.27%	3.21%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.04	2.91	3.10	3.25	3.07
Standard Deviation	0.74	0.78	0.71	0.74	0.76
20. Satisfaction with preparation in exhibiting and promoting ethical and professional behavior as a teacher.					
Excellent	65.45%	57.29%	58.54%	64.56%	60.90%
Good	30.91%	37.50%	29.27%	32.91%	33.01%
Fair	1.82%	5.21%	8.54%	2.53%	4.81%
Poor	1.82%	0.00%	3.66%	0.00%	1.28%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.60	3.52	3.43	3.62	3.54
Standard Deviation	0.63	0.60	0.80	0.54	0.65
21. Satisfaction with preparation in understanding the core concepts and skills related to the Student Teacher's or Intern's certified content area or areas.					
Excellent	47.27%	42.71%	45.12%	62.03%	49.04%
Good	47.27%	45.83%	42.68%	32.91%	41.99%
Fair	5.45%	10.42%	9.76%	5.06%	8.01%
Poor	0.00%	1.04%	2.44%	0.00%	0.96%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.42	3.30	3.30	3.57	3.39
Standard Deviation	0.60	0.70	0.75	0.59	0.68

\*No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.

# Northern Kentucky University

## 2003-2004 New Teacher Survey Results

	Classification				Total
	Student Teacher	Intern	Cooperating Teacher	Resource Teacher	
22. Satisfaction with preparation in identifying and properly referring students with social or emotional problems, ie, those who may become a threat to themselves or others.					
Excellent	16.36%	17.71%	25.61%	43.04%	25.96%
Good	47.27%	42.71%	57.32%	41.77%	47.12%
Fair	27.27%	29.17%	15.85%	10.13%	20.51%
Poor	9.09%	10.42%	1.22%	5.06%	6.41%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	2.71	2.68	3.07	3.23	2.93
Standard Deviation	0.85	0.89	0.68	0.83	0.85
23. Satisfaction with preparation in understanding the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.					
Excellent	34.55%	37.50%	25.61%	35.44%	33.33%
Good	52.73%	40.63%	57.32%	51.90%	50.00%
Fair	12.73%	16.67%	15.85%	12.66%	14.74%
Poor	0.00%	5.21%	1.22%	0.00%	1.92%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.22	3.10	3.07	3.23	3.15
Standard Deviation	0.66	0.86	0.68	0.66	0.73
24. Satisfaction with preparation in developing useful strategies to address the behavioral issues of special needs children included in the regular classroom.					
Excellent	27.27%	27.08%	18.29%	34.18%	26.60%
Good	41.82%	40.63%	56.10%	46.84%	46.47%
Fair	29.09%	21.88%	18.29%	16.46%	20.83%
Poor	1.82%	10.42%	7.32%	2.53%	6.09%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	2.95	2.84	2.85	3.13	2.94
Standard Deviation	0.80	0.94	0.80	0.77	0.85

\*No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.

# Northern Kentucky University

## 2003-2004 New Teacher Survey Results

	Classification				Total
	Student Teacher	Intern	Cooperating Teacher	Resource Teacher	
<b>25. Satisfaction with preparation to teach in Kentucky's schools, overall</b>					
Excellent	43.64%	32.29%	36.59%	49.37%	39.74%
Good	47.27%	54.17%	53.66%	40.51%	49.36%
Fair	9.09%	13.54%	8.54%	10.13%	10.58%
Poor	0.00%	0.00%	1.22%	0.00%	0.32%
Totals	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>100.00%</u>
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	3.35	3.19	3.26	3.39	3.29
Standard Deviation	0.64	0.65	0.66	0.67	0.66
<b>26. How would you rate the preparation to integrate the latest research in analyzing student reading problems?</b>					
Excellent	12.73%	9.38%	15.85%	22.78%	15.06%
Good	47.27%	44.79%	51.22%	39.24%	45.51%
Fair	34.55%	33.33%	29.27%	36.71%	33.33%
Poor	5.45%	12.50%	3.66%	1.27%	6.09%
Totals	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>100.00%</u>
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	2.67	2.51	2.79	2.84	2.70
Standard Deviation	0.77	0.83	0.75	0.79	0.80
<b>27. How would you rate the preparation to integrate best practices for health education into overall instruction?</b>					
Excellent	12.73%	8.33%	15.85%	15.19%	12.82%
Good	41.82%	36.46%	50.00%	44.30%	42.95%
Fair	36.36%	35.42%	30.49%	36.71%	34.62%
Poor	9.09%	19.79%	3.66%	3.80%	9.62%
Totals	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>100.00%</u>
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	2.58	2.33	2.78	2.71	2.59
Standard Deviation	0.83	0.89	0.75	0.77	0.83

\*No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.

# Northern Kentucky University

## 2003-2004 New Teacher Survey Results

	Classification				Total
	Student Teacher	Intern	Cooperating Teacher	Resource Teacher	
28. How would you rate the preparation to integrate environmental education into overall instruction?					
Excellent	9.09%	9.38%	13.41%	17.72%	12.50%
Good	45.45%	28.13%	52.44%	45.57%	41.99%
Fair	36.36%	39.58%	32.93%	31.65%	35.26%
Poor	9.09%	22.92%	1.22%	5.06%	10.26%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	2.55	2.24	2.78	2.76	2.57
Standard Deviation	0.79	0.91	0.69	0.80	0.84
29. How would you rate the preparation to teach students basic economic principles and the economic concepts students use in everyday decision making?					
Excellent	7.27%	6.25%	13.41%	13.92%	10.26%
Good	40.00%	32.29%	51.22%	50.63%	43.27%
Fair	38.18%	45.83%	30.49%	31.65%	36.86%
Poor	14.55%	15.63%	4.88%	3.80%	9.62%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	55	96	82	79	312
Mean Score	2.40	2.29	2.73	2.75	2.54
Standard Deviation	0.83	0.81	0.75	0.74	0.80

\*No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.