

**Kentucky's
Education Professional
Standards Board**

**New Teacher Survey
2002-2003 Results**

Thomas More College

Source: EPSB, contact Jaime Rice at jaime.rice@ky.gov.

Thomas More College

2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

1. Satisfaction with preparation to design units of instruction that focus on Kentucky's student learning goals and academic expectations.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	50.0%		35.7%		32.0%
• Good	50.0%	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%	60.0%
• Fair			14.3%		8.0%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	14	3	25
Mean Score	3.50	3.00	3.21	3.00	3.24
Standard Deviation	.548	.000	.699	.000	.597
Standard Error of Mean	.224	.000	.187	.000	.119

2. Satisfaction with preparation to use information about the community and backgrounds of students to design learning tasks.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	33.3%		7.7%		12.5%
• Good	50.0%		46.2%	66.7%	45.8%
• Fair	16.7%	100.0%	46.2%	33.3%	41.7%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	13	3	24
Mean Score	3.17	2.00	2.62	2.67	2.71
Standard Deviation	.753	.000	.650	.577	.690
Standard Error of Mean	.307	.000	.180	.333	.141

Thomas More College 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

3. Satisfaction with preparation to design classroom assessments that are aligned with Kentucky core content standards and CATS.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	33.3%		35.7%		28.0%
• Good	50.0%	50.0%	28.6%	66.7%	40.0%
• Fair	16.7%	50.0%	35.7%		28.0%
• Poor				33.3%	4.0%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	14	3	25
Mean Score	3.17	2.50	3.00	2.33	2.92
Standard Deviation	.753	.707	.877	1.155	.862
Standard Error of Mean	.307	.500	.234	.667	.172

4. Satisfaction with preparation to design and use classroom assessments that reliably measure learning.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	50.0%		46.2%		37.5%
• Good	50.0%	100.0%	30.8%	100.0%	50.0%
• Fair			23.1%		12.5%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	13	3	24
Mean Score	3.50	3.00	3.23	3.00	3.25
Standard Deviation	.548	.000	.832	.000	.676
Standard Error of Mean	.224	.000	.231	.000	.138

Thomas More College 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

5. Satisfaction with preparation to design and use formative assessments to provide feedback to students and guide their learning.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	66.7%		42.9%		40.0%
• Good	16.7%	100.0%	35.7%	100.0%	44.0%
• Fair	16.7%		7.1%		8.0%
• Poor			14.3%		8.0%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	14	3	25
Mean Score	3.50	3.00	3.07	3.00	3.16
Standard Deviation	.837	.000	1.072	.000	.898
Standard Error of Mean	.342	.000	.286	.000	.180

6. Satisfaction with preparation to design instruction and learning tasks that connect core content to real-life experiences for students.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	33.3%		35.7%		28.0%
• Good	66.7%	100.0%	28.6%	100.0%	52.0%
• Fair			21.4%		12.0%
• Poor			14.3%		8.0%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	14	3	25
Mean Score	3.33	3.00	2.86	3.00	3.00
Standard Deviation	.516	.000	1.099	.000	.866
Standard Error of Mean	.211	.000	.294	.000	.173

Thomas More College 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

7. Satisfaction with preparation to design instruction and assessments for students with special needs.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	33.3%		8.3%		13.0%
• Good	16.7%		50.0%	66.7%	39.1%
• Fair	50.0%	100.0%	33.3%	33.3%	43.5%
• Poor			8.3%		4.3%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	12	3	23
Mean Score	2.83	2.00	2.58	2.67	2.61
Standard Deviation	.983	.000	.793	.577	.783
Standard Error of Mean	.401	.000	.229	.333	.163

8. Satisfaction with preparation to use technology to enhance and extend learning tasks related to core concepts and/or content standards.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	50.0%		42.9%		36.0%
• Good	50.0%	50.0%	42.9%	66.7%	48.0%
• Fair		50.0%	14.3%	33.3%	16.0%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	14	3	25
Mean Score	3.50	2.50	3.29	2.67	3.20
Standard Deviation	.548	.707	.726	.577	.707
Standard Error of Mean	.224	.500	.194	.333	.141

Thomas More College 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

9. Satisfaction with preparation to design and use a variety of instructional strategies that address the learning needs of different types of students.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	83.3%		35.7%	33.3%	44.0%
• Good	16.7%	100.0%	42.9%	33.3%	40.0%
• Fair			21.4%	33.3%	16.0%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	14	3	25
Mean Score	3.83	3.00	3.14	3.00	3.28
Standard Deviation	.408	.000	.770	1.000	.737
Standard Error of Mean	.167	.000	.206	.577	.147

10. Satisfaction with preparation to use methods of inquiry to create meaningful learning experiences for students.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	50.0%	50.0%	35.7%		36.0%
• Good	50.0%	50.0%	28.6%	66.7%	40.0%
• Fair			28.6%	33.3%	20.0%
• Poor			7.1%		4.0%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	14	3	25
Mean Score	3.50	3.50	2.93	2.67	3.08
Standard Deviation	.548	.707	.997	.577	.862
Standard Error of Mean	.224	.500	.267	.333	.172

Thomas More College 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

11. Satisfaction with preparation to use multiple assessments and data sources to interpret learning results for individuals and groups of students.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	50.0%		23.1%		25.0%
• Good	50.0%	100.0%	46.2%	66.7%	54.2%
• Fair			30.8%	33.3%	20.8%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	13	3	24
Mean Score	3.50	3.00	2.92	2.67	3.04
Standard Deviation	.548	.000	.760	.577	.690
Standard Error of Mean	.224	.000	.211	.333	.141

12. Satisfaction with preparation to design a personal professional development plan that facilitates student learning.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%		21.7%
• Good	66.7%	50.0%	66.7%	66.7%	65.2%
• Fair			16.7%	33.3%	13.0%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	12	3	23
Mean Score	3.33	3.50	3.00	2.67	3.09
Standard Deviation	.516	.707	.603	.577	.596
Standard Error of Mean	.211	.500	.174	.333	.124

Thomas More College 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

13. Satisfaction with preparation to reflect on the effectiveness of instruction for the purpose of improving student learning.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	50.0%	50.0%	35.7%	33.3%	40.0%
• Good	50.0%	50.0%	35.7%	33.3%	40.0%
• Fair			21.4%	33.3%	16.0%
• Poor			7.1%		4.0%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	14	3	25
Mean Score	3.50	3.50	3.00	3.00	3.16
Standard Deviation	.548	.707	.961	1.000	.850
Standard Error of Mean	.224	.500	.257	.577	.170

14. Satisfaction with preparation to develop graphic and/or written presentations to show evidence of student learning resulting from instruction.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	16.7%		14.3%		12.5%
• Good	66.7%	100.0%	64.3%		62.5%
• Fair	16.7%		14.3%	100.0%	20.8%
• Poor			7.1%		4.2%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	14	2	24
Mean Score	3.00	3.00	2.86	2.00	2.83
Standard Deviation	.632	.000	.770	.000	.702
Standard Error of Mean	.258	.000	.206	.000	.143

Thomas More College 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

15. Satisfaction with preparation to use classroom management techniques that foster self-control and self-discipline among students.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	50.0%	50.0%	28.6%	33.3%	36.0%
• Good	33.3%	50.0%	57.1%	66.7%	52.0%
• Fair	16.7%		7.1%		8.0%
• Poor			7.1%		4.0%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	14	3	25
Mean Score	3.33	3.50	3.07	3.33	3.20
Standard Deviation	.816	.707	.829	.577	.764
Standard Error of Mean	.333	.500	.221	.333	.153

16. Satisfaction with preparation to plan and provide instruction that stimulates critical thinking and the application of knowledge.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	33.3%		35.7%	33.3%	32.0%
• Good	66.7%	100.0%	28.6%	66.7%	48.0%
• Fair			21.4%		12.0%
• Poor			14.3%		8.0%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	14	3	25
Mean Score	3.33	3.00	2.86	3.33	3.04
Standard Deviation	.516	.000	1.099	.577	.889
Standard Error of Mean	.211	.000	.294	.333	.178

Thomas More College 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

17. Satisfaction with preparation to collaborate with other teachers, administrators, parents, and service agencies to provide the best possible instruction for students.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	50.0%	50.0%	35.7%	66.7%	44.0%
• Good	50.0%		57.1%	33.3%	48.0%
• Fair		50.0%	7.1%		8.0%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	14	3	25
Mean Score	3.50	3.00	3.29	3.67	3.36
Standard Deviation	.548	1.414	.611	.577	.638
Standard Error of Mean	.224	1.000	.163	.333	.128

18. Satisfaction with preparation to use a multimedia computer to support and extend student learning.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	40.0%	50.0%	42.9%		37.5%
• Good	60.0%	50.0%	35.7%	33.3%	41.7%
• Fair			21.4%	66.7%	20.8%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	5	2	14	3	24
Mean Score	3.40	3.50	3.21	2.33	3.17
Standard Deviation	.548	.707	.802	.577	.761
Standard Error of Mean	.245	.500	.214	.333	.155

Thomas More College 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

19. Satisfaction with preparation to assess own professional growth needs and plan meaningful professional development activities.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	16.7%	50.0%	33.3%		26.1%
• Good	83.3%	50.0%	50.0%	66.7%	60.9%
• Fair			16.7%	33.3%	13.0%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	12	3	23
Mean Score	3.17	3.50	3.17	2.67	3.13
Standard Deviation	.408	.707	.718	.577	.626
Standard Error of Mean	.167	.500	.207	.333	.130

20. Satisfaction with preparation to exhibit and promote ethical and professional behavior as a teacher.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	66.7%	50.0%	71.4%	100.0%	72.0%
• Good	33.3%	50.0%	28.6%		28.0%
• Fair					
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	14	3	25
Mean Score	3.67	3.50	3.71	4.00	3.72
Standard Deviation	.516	.707	.469	.000	.458
Standard Error of Mean	.211	.500	.125	.000	.092

Thomas More College 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

21. Satisfaction with preparation to understand the core concepts and skills related to certified content area(s).

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	66.7%	50.0%	35.7%	33.3%	44.0%
• Good	33.3%	50.0%	50.0%	33.3%	44.0%
• Fair			7.1%	33.3%	8.0%
• Poor			7.1%		4.0%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	14	3	25
Mean Score	3.67	3.50	3.14	3.00	3.28
Standard Deviation	.516	.707	.864	1.000	.792
Standard Error of Mean	.211	.500	.231	.577	.158

22. Satisfaction with preparation to identify and properly refer students with social or emotional problems, e.g., those who may become a threat to themselves or others.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	16.7%		36.4%		23.8%
• Good	83.3%	100.0%	54.5%	66.7%	66.7%
• Fair			9.1%	33.3%	9.5%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	1	11	3	21
Mean Score	3.17	3.00	3.27	2.67	3.14
Standard Deviation	.408	.	.647	.577	.573
Standard Error of Mean	.167	.	.195	.333	.125

Thomas More College 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

23. Satisfaction with preparation to understand the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	33.3%	50.0%	25.0%		26.1%
• Good	50.0%		66.7%	66.7%	56.5%
• Fair	16.7%		8.3%	33.3%	13.0%
• Poor		50.0%			4.3%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	12	3	23
Mean Score	3.17	2.50	3.17	2.67	3.04
Standard Deviation	.753	2.121	.577	.577	.767
Standard Error of Mean	.307	1.500	.167	.333	.160

24. Satisfaction with preparation to develop useful strategies to address the behavioral issues of special needs children included in the regular classroom.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	33.3%		16.7%		17.4%
• Good	33.3%	50.0%	58.3%	66.7%	52.2%
• Fair	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	33.3%	26.1%
• Poor			8.3%		4.3%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	12	3	23
Mean Score	3.00	2.50	2.83	2.67	2.83
Standard Deviation	.894	.707	.835	.577	.778
Standard Error of Mean	.365	.500	.241	.333	.162

Thomas More College 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

25. Satisfaction with preparation to teach in Kentucky's schools, overall.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	33.3%		28.6%	33.3%	28.0%
• Good	66.7%	50.0%	42.9%	66.7%	52.0%
• Fair		50.0%	28.6%		20.0%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	14	3	25
Mean Score	3.33	2.50	3.00	3.33	3.08
Standard Deviation	.516	.707	.784	.577	.702
Standard Error of Mean	.211	.500	.210	.333	.140

Thomas More College 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

The following items were not included in the calculation of the institution's Quality Performance Index (QPI).

26. Satisfaction with preparation to integrate the latest research in analyzing student reading problems.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	16.7%		7.7%	33.3%	12.5%
• Good	50.0%	50.0%	53.8%	66.7%	54.2%
• Fair	16.7%		30.8%		20.8%
• Poor	16.7%	50.0%	7.7%		12.5%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	2	13	3	24
Mean Score	2.67	2.00	2.62	3.33	2.67
Standard Deviation	1.033	1.414	.768	.577	.868
Standard Error of Mean	.422	1.000	.213	.333	.177

27. Satisfaction with preparation to integrate best practices for health education into overall instruction.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	16.7%				4.8%
• Good	16.7%		61.5%		42.9%
• Fair	66.7%		23.1%	50.0%	38.1%
• Poor			15.4%	50.0%	14.3%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	0	13	2	21
Mean Score	2.50	.	2.46	1.50	2.38
Standard Deviation	.837	.	.776	.707	.805
Standard Error of Mean	.342	.	.215	.500	.176

Thomas More College 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

28. Satisfaction with preparation to integrate environmental education into overall instruction.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent			7.7%		4.3%
• Good	16.7%	100.0%	46.2%	100.0%	47.8%
• Fair	83.3%		30.8%		39.1%
• Poor			15.4%		8.7%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	1	13	3	23
Mean Score	2.17	3.00	2.46	3.00	2.48
Standard Deviation	.408	.	.877	.000	.730
Standard Error of Mean	.167	.	.243	.000	.152

29. Satisfaction with preparation to teach students basic economic principles and the economic concepts students use in everyday decision making.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent			16.7%		9.1%
• Good	33.3%	100.0%	50.0%	66.7%	50.0%
• Fair	50.0%		16.7%	33.3%	27.3%
• Poor	16.7%		16.7%		13.6%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	6	1	12	3	22
Mean Score	2.17	3.00	2.67	2.67	2.55
Standard Deviation	.753	.	.985	.577	.858
Standard Error of Mean	.307	.	.284	.333	.183