

**Kentucky's
Education Professional
Standards Board**

**New Teacher Survey
2002-2003 Results**

Lincoln Memorial University

Source: EPSB, contact Jaime Rice at jaime.rice@ky.gov.

Lincoln Memorial University

2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

1. Satisfaction with preparation to design units of instruction that focus on Kentucky's student learning goals and academic expectations.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	61.5%		47.1%	25.0%	48.6%
• Good	38.5%	100.0%	41.2%	25.0%	40.0%
• Fair			11.8%		5.7%
• Poor				50.0%	5.7%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	17	4	35
Mean Score	3.62	3.00	3.35	2.25	3.31
Standard Deviation	.506	.	.702	1.500	.832
Standard Error of Mean	.140	.	.170	.750	.141

2. Satisfaction with preparation to use information about the community and backgrounds of students to design learning tasks.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	30.8%		29.4%		25.7%
• Good	38.5%	100.0%	64.7%	50.0%	54.3%
• Fair	30.8%		5.9%	50.0%	20.0%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	17	4	35
Mean Score	3.00	3.00	3.24	2.50	3.06
Standard Deviation	.816	.	.562	.577	.684
Standard Error of Mean	.226	.	.136	.289	.116

Lincoln Memorial University 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

3. Satisfaction with preparation to design classroom assessments that are aligned with Kentucky core content standards and CATS.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	53.8%		41.2%		40.0%
• Good	23.1%	100.0%	29.4%	50.0%	31.4%
• Fair	23.1%		23.5%		20.0%
• Poor			5.9%	50.0%	8.6%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	17	4	35
Mean Score	3.31	3.00	3.06	2.00	3.03
Standard Deviation	.855	.	.966	1.155	.985
Standard Error of Mean	.237	.	.234	.577	.166

4. Satisfaction with preparation to design and use classroom assessments that reliably measure learning.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	61.5%		29.4%		37.1%
• Good	15.4%	100.0%	41.2%	75.0%	37.1%
• Fair	15.4%		29.4%	25.0%	22.9%
• Poor	7.7%				2.9%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	17	4	35
Mean Score	3.31	3.00	3.00	2.75	3.09
Standard Deviation	1.032	.	.791	.500	.853
Standard Error of Mean	.286	.	.192	.250	.144

Lincoln Memorial University 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

5. Satisfaction with preparation to design and use formative assessments to provide feedback to students and guide their learning.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	53.8%		43.8%		41.2%
• Good	38.5%	100.0%	31.3%	50.0%	38.2%
• Fair	7.7%		25.0%	50.0%	20.6%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	16	4	34
Mean Score	3.46	3.00	3.19	2.50	3.21
Standard Deviation	.660	.	.834	.577	.770
Standard Error of Mean	.183	.	.209	.289	.132

6. Satisfaction with preparation to design instruction and learning tasks that connect core content to real-life experiences for students.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	61.5%		41.2%	33.3%	47.1%
• Good	23.1%	100.0%	29.4%	33.3%	29.4%
• Fair	7.7%		29.4%	33.3%	20.6%
• Poor	7.7%				2.9%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	17	3	34
Mean Score	3.38	3.00	3.12	3.00	3.21
Standard Deviation	.961	.	.857	1.000	.880
Standard Error of Mean	.266	.	.208	.577	.151

Lincoln Memorial University 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

7. Satisfaction with preparation to design instruction and assessments for students with special needs.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	38.5%		26.7%	50.0%	33.3%
• Good	30.8%	100.0%	40.0%	25.0%	36.4%
• Fair	15.4%		33.3%	25.0%	24.2%
• Poor	15.4%				6.1%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	15	4	33
Mean Score	2.92	3.00	2.93	3.25	2.97
Standard Deviation	1.115	.	.799	.957	.918
Standard Error of Mean	.309	.	.206	.479	.160

8. Satisfaction with preparation to use technology to enhance and extend learning tasks related to core concepts and/or content standards.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	38.5%		37.5%	25.0%	35.3%
• Good	30.8%	100.0%	50.0%	75.0%	47.1%
• Fair	30.8%		12.5%		17.6%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	16	4	34
Mean Score	3.08	3.00	3.25	3.25	3.18
Standard Deviation	.862	.	.683	.500	.716
Standard Error of Mean	.239	.	.171	.250	.123

Lincoln Memorial University 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

9. Satisfaction with preparation to design and use a variety of instructional strategies that address the learning needs of different types of students.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	69.2%		43.8%	50.0%	52.9%
• Good	23.1%	100.0%	50.0%	25.0%	38.2%
• Fair	7.7%		6.3%	25.0%	8.8%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	16	4	34
Mean Score	3.62	3.00	3.38	3.25	3.44
Standard Deviation	.650	.	.619	.957	.660
Standard Error of Mean	.180	.	.155	.479	.113

10. Satisfaction with preparation to use methods of inquiry to create meaningful learning experiences for students.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	69.2%		41.2%	25.0%	48.6%
• Good	15.4%	100.0%	41.2%	75.0%	37.1%
• Fair	15.4%		17.6%		14.3%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	17	4	35
Mean Score	3.54	3.00	3.24	3.25	3.34
Standard Deviation	.776	.	.752	.500	.725
Standard Error of Mean	.215	.	.182	.250	.123

Lincoln Memorial University 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

11. Satisfaction with preparation to use multiple assessments and data sources to interpret learning results for individuals and groups of students.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	38.5%		25.0%	50.0%	32.4%
• Good	38.5%	100.0%	43.8%		38.2%
• Fair	23.1%		31.3%	50.0%	29.4%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	16	4	34
Mean Score	3.15	3.00	2.94	3.00	3.03
Standard Deviation	.801	.	.772	1.155	.797
Standard Error of Mean	.222	.	.193	.577	.137

12. Satisfaction with preparation to design a personal professional development plan that facilitates student learning.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	53.8%		35.7%	25.0%	40.6%
• Good	15.4%	100.0%	57.1%	50.0%	40.6%
• Fair	30.8%		7.1%	25.0%	18.8%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	14	4	32
Mean Score	3.23	3.00	3.29	3.00	3.22
Standard Deviation	.927	.	.611	.816	.751
Standard Error of Mean	.257	.	.163	.408	.133

Lincoln Memorial University 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

13. Satisfaction with preparation to reflect on the effectiveness of instruction for the purpose of improving student learning.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	61.5%		41.2%	50.0%	48.6%
• Good	30.8%	100.0%	47.1%		37.1%
• Fair	7.7%		11.8%	50.0%	14.3%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	17	4	35
Mean Score	3.54	3.00	3.29	3.00	3.34
Standard Deviation	.660	.	.686	1.155	.725
Standard Error of Mean	.183	.	.166	.577	.123

14. Satisfaction with preparation to develop graphic and/or written presentations to show evidence of student learning resulting from instruction.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	61.5%		35.7%	25.0%	43.8%
• Good	7.7%	100.0%	42.9%	25.0%	28.1%
• Fair	30.8%		21.4%	25.0%	25.0%
• Poor				25.0%	3.1%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	14	4	32
Mean Score	3.31	3.00	3.14	2.50	3.13
Standard Deviation	.947	.	.770	1.291	.907
Standard Error of Mean	.263	.	.206	.645	.160

Lincoln Memorial University 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

15. Satisfaction with preparation to use classroom management techniques that foster self-control and self-discipline among students.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	61.5%		29.4%	50.0%	42.9%
• Good	30.8%	100.0%	52.9%	50.0%	45.7%
• Fair	7.7%		11.8%		8.6%
• Poor			5.9%		2.9%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	17	4	35
Mean Score	3.54	3.00	3.06	3.50	3.29
Standard Deviation	.660	.	.827	.577	.750
Standard Error of Mean	.183	.	.201	.289	.127

16. Satisfaction with preparation to plan and provide instruction that stimulates critical thinking and the application of knowledge.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	61.5%		47.1%	50.0%	51.4%
• Good	23.1%	100.0%	47.1%	50.0%	40.0%
• Fair	15.4%		5.9%		8.6%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	17	4	35
Mean Score	3.46	3.00	3.41	3.50	3.43
Standard Deviation	.776	.	.618	.577	.655
Standard Error of Mean	.215	.	.150	.289	.111

Lincoln Memorial University 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

17. Satisfaction with preparation to collaborate with other teachers, administrators, parents, and service agencies to provide the best possible instruction for students.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	69.2%		58.8%	50.0%	60.0%
• Good	15.4%	100.0%	35.3%	50.0%	31.4%
• Fair	7.7%		5.9%		5.7%
• Poor	7.7%				2.9%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	17	4	35
Mean Score	3.46	3.00	3.53	3.50	3.49
Standard Deviation	.967	.	.624	.577	.742
Standard Error of Mean	.268	.	.151	.289	.126

18. Satisfaction with preparation to use a multimedia computer to support and extend student learning.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	7.7%		35.7%	25.0%	21.9%
• Good	53.8%	100.0%	35.7%	25.0%	43.8%
• Fair	38.5%		28.6%	50.0%	34.4%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	14	4	32
Mean Score	2.69	3.00	3.07	2.75	2.88
Standard Deviation	.630	.	.829	.957	.751
Standard Error of Mean	.175	.	.221	.479	.133

Lincoln Memorial University 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

19. Satisfaction with preparation to assess own professional growth needs and plan meaningful professional development activities.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	84.6%		38.5%	50.0%	58.1%
• Good	15.4%	100.0%	53.8%	25.0%	35.5%
• Fair			7.7%	25.0%	6.5%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	13	4	31
Mean Score	3.85	3.00	3.31	3.25	3.52
Standard Deviation	.376	.	.630	.957	.626
Standard Error of Mean	.104	.	.175	.479	.112

20. Satisfaction with preparation to exhibit and promote ethical and professional behavior as a teacher.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	69.2%		62.5%	100.0%	67.6%
• Good	30.8%	100.0%	37.5%		32.4%
• Fair					
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	16	4	34
Mean Score	3.69	3.00	3.63	4.00	3.68
Standard Deviation	.480	.	.500	.000	.475
Standard Error of Mean	.133	.	.125	.000	.081

Lincoln Memorial University 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

21. Satisfaction with preparation to understand the core concepts and skills related to certified content area(s).

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	61.5%		47.1%	75.0%	54.3%
• Good	30.8%	100.0%	41.2%	25.0%	37.1%
• Fair			11.8%		5.7%
• Poor	7.7%				2.9%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	17	4	35
Mean Score	3.46	3.00	3.35	3.75	3.43
Standard Deviation	.877	.	.702	.500	.739
Standard Error of Mean	.243	.	.170	.250	.125

22. Satisfaction with preparation to identify and properly refer students with social or emotional problems, e.g., those who may become a threat to themselves or others.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	16.7%		36.4%	33.3%	25.9%
• Good	50.0%	100.0%	54.5%	66.7%	55.6%
• Fair	25.0%		9.1%		14.8%
• Poor	8.3%				3.7%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	12	1	11	3	27
Mean Score	2.75	3.00	3.27	3.33	3.04
Standard Deviation	.866	.	.647	.577	.759
Standard Error of Mean	.250	.	.195	.333	.146

Lincoln Memorial University 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

23. Satisfaction with preparation to understand the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	53.8%		46.2%	25.0%	45.2%
• Good	7.7%	100.0%	46.2%	75.0%	35.5%
• Fair	30.8%		7.7%		16.1%
• Poor	7.7%				3.2%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	13	4	31
Mean Score	3.08	3.00	3.38	3.25	3.23
Standard Deviation	1.115	.	.650	.500	.845
Standard Error of Mean	.309	.	.180	.250	.152

24. Satisfaction with preparation to develop useful strategies to address the behavioral issues of special needs children included in the regular classroom.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	30.8%		35.7%	25.0%	31.3%
• Good	30.8%	100.0%	42.9%	25.0%	37.5%
• Fair	38.5%		21.4%	50.0%	31.3%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	14	4	32
Mean Score	2.92	3.00	3.14	2.75	3.00
Standard Deviation	.862	.	.770	.957	.803
Standard Error of Mean	.239	.	.206	.479	.142

Lincoln Memorial University 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

25. Satisfaction with preparation to teach in Kentucky's schools, overall.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	69.2%		52.9%	50.0%	57.1%
• Good	23.1%	100.0%	29.4%		25.7%
• Fair	7.7%		11.8%	50.0%	14.3%
• Poor			5.9%		2.9%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	17	4	35
Mean Score	3.62	3.00	3.29	3.00	3.37
Standard Deviation	.650	.	.920	1.155	.843
Standard Error of Mean	.180	.	.223	.577	.143

Lincoln Memorial University 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

The following items were not included in the calculation of the institution's Quality Performance Index (QPI).

26. Satisfaction with preparation to integrate the latest research in analyzing student reading problems.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	30.8%		37.5%	25.0%	32.4%
• Good	53.8%	100.0%	50.0%	25.0%	50.0%
• Fair	15.4%		12.5%	50.0%	17.6%
• Poor					
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	16	4	34
Mean Score	3.15	3.00	3.25	2.75	3.15
Standard Deviation	.689	.	.683	.957	.702
Standard Error of Mean	.191	.	.171	.479	.120

27. Satisfaction with preparation to integrate best practices for health education into overall instruction.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	7.7%		43.8%		24.2%
• Good	69.2%	100.0%	37.5%	66.7%	54.5%
• Fair	15.4%		18.8%	33.3%	18.2%
• Poor	7.7%				3.0%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	16	3	33
Mean Score	2.77	3.00	3.25	2.67	3.00
Standard Deviation	.725	.	.775	.577	.750
Standard Error of Mean	.201	.	.194	.333	.131

Lincoln Memorial University 2002-2003 New Teacher Survey Results

28. Satisfaction with preparation to integrate environmental education into overall instruction.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	7.7%		50.0%		27.3%
• Good	84.6%	100.0%	25.0%	33.3%	51.5%
• Fair	7.7%		25.0%	33.3%	18.2%
• Poor				33.3%	3.0%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	16	3	33
Mean Score	3.00	3.00	3.25	2.00	3.03
Standard Deviation	.408	.	.856	1.000	.770
Standard Error of Mean	.113	.	.214	.577	.134

29. Satisfaction with preparation to teach students basic economic principles and the economic concepts students use in everyday decision making.

	Student Teachers	Teacher Interns	Cooperating Teachers	Resource Teachers	Total
• Excellent	23.1%		62.5%		39.4%
• Good	53.8%	100.0%	12.5%	66.7%	36.4%
• Fair	15.4%		25.0%		18.2%
• Poor	7.7%			33.3%	6.1%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
N	13	1	16	3	33
Mean Score	2.92	3.00	3.38	2.33	3.09
Standard Deviation	.862	.	.885	1.155	.914
Standard Error of Mean	.239	.	.221	.667	.159